

# Audit Review Report

FICTIONAL EXAMPLE · TLRI-AR-EXAMPLE-001 · v1.0 · 1 October 2026

**Demonstration only.** The event, organization, source text and organizer note are fictional. This is an AI-assisted example of the deliverable, not a completed client audit, independent human validation or a full run of an adopted operating packet.

| Review object                                                   | Review question                                                        |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Fictional seed-swap notice, draft v1                            | Is the notice supported and usable enough for its proposed public use? |
| Actual access: four notice lines and three organizer-note lines | Human reviewer sign-off: not recorded                                  |

## 1. Summary finding

Repair the unsupported assurances and supply a contact before the proposed publication. The notice clearly names a date, time, venue, and free entry. It does not support the seed guarantee or the broad accessibility claim, and its contact instruction cannot be followed.

This finding is limited to the fictional supplied text. It does not establish that an event exists, a venue is accessible, or the notice has been tested with readers.

## 2. What was examined

The four-line notice and three-line organizer note reproduced below. The example compares claims to the supplied information and checks whether the written next step is possible. No live website, contact route, venue, external records, or actual participants were examined.

**What already works:** the supplied notice names a date, time, venue and free entry. The review does not manufacture defects merely to produce a repair list.

## 3. Findings and evidence

---

F01 · Necessary correction · L02 / N01

### The seed guarantee exceeds the supplied support.

**Evidence:** L02 promises seeds for everyone. N01 describes twenty packets and no attendance estimate or replenishment plan.

**Why it matters:** A reader may rely on a guarantee the organizer has not shown it can fulfill.

**Repair:** Replace the guarantee with a bounded availability statement, or provide evidence supporting a guarantee. Suggested wording: “Seed packets are available while supplies last.”

**Recheck:** Confirm that the final wording matches the organizer’s actual supply arrangements. No retest is recorded here.

F02 · Necessary correction + unresolved check · L03 / N02

### The accessibility assurance is too broad.

**Evidence:** L03 says accessibility is fully assured; N02 only reports a step-free entrance.

**Why it matters:** The claim reaches beyond the information supplied. The evidence does not establish a broad accessibility conclusion.

**Repair:** Remove the broad assurance. Verify and describe specific access arrangements, identify a contact for questions, and disclose what remains unknown.

**Recheck:** Obtain the responsible venue’s information and an appropriate human review. This report is not an accessibility certification.

# Findings and next actions

F03 · Necessary correction · L04 / N03

## The next step has no destination.

**Evidence:** L04 asks readers to contact the organizer, while N03 states that no public contact has been selected.

**Repair:** Add one confirmed public reply route and identify who monitors it. Do not publish a fabricated address to complete the layout.

**Recheck:** Test a harmless message through that route and record receipt and reply. No test is claimed.

## 4. Repair checklist

| Finding | Action before proposed use                                         | Owner / evidence needed                                                 |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| F01     | Narrow the guarantee to actual seed availability.                  | Organizer; final wording matched to supply arrangements.                |
| F02     | Replace broad access assurance with verified specifics and limits. | Organizer/venue; responsible confirmation and appropriate human review. |
| F03     | Add and test one monitored public contact route.                   | Organizer; harmless message received and replied to.                    |

All repairs are open in this fictional example. No recheck has been performed.

**Optional improvement:** group venue and contact information into a short “Plan your visit” block. This is a presentation suggestion, not a necessary repair established by the review.

# Sources, limits and report record

---

## 5. Fictional source record

L01 Community Seed Swap — Saturday 10 October 2026, 10 am–noon. Maple Room, Example Community Center.

L02 Free entry. Seeds for everyone, guaranteed.

L03 Bring seeds or just come by. Accessibility is fully assured.

L04 Contact us for details.

N01 Entry is free. Twenty seed packets are available; there is no attendance estimate or replenishment plan.

N02 The organizer reports a step-free entrance. No other accessibility information has been provided.

N03 A public contact address has not yet been selected.

## 6. Limits, method and next step

No client, human sign-off, live verification, reader test, venue visit or retest is represented. This example uses the proposed service-report structure; it is not claimed as a complete v1.5, v1.7.1 or v0.4.0 protocol run.

A real report records its exact operating packet, reviewer, permissions, assistance, scope agreement and evidence. Next step here: the fictional organizer repairs the notice and requests a defined recheck.

Correction route for this public example: [institute.thelongreturn.org/corrections](https://institute.thelongreturn.org/corrections). Name TLRI-AR-EXAMPLE-001, v1.0 and the finding. A real client report uses its agreed private correction contact. Correcting a report mistake is separate from commissioning new work.

Companion source files: `fictional-seed-swap-source-v1.txt` and `fictional-seed-swap-organizer-note-v1.txt`. These are synthetic demonstration inputs, not evidence of a real event.